

Three Models

The transition from the current system to an AI-native future is a progression along a continuum of integration, requiring increasing levels of organizational redesign and capacity building.

- Model 1: AI-Assisted (Augmented Traditional)** This model maintains the traditional school structure (bell schedules, subject silos, age-based grades). AI is used selectively to augment existing practices and improve efficiency. Teachers use AI for administrative support (e.g., grading, planning), and students may use adaptive software for targeted practice within standard classes. AI acts as a supplementary tool, offering incremental improvements without changing the core pedagogy or structure.
- Model 2: AI-Integrated (Partial Redesign)** This model involves a significant redesign of the school day and instructional practices. The schedule becomes semi-flexible, incorporating longer blocks for interdisciplinary projects and dedicated time for personalized learning "playlists" guided by AI. Teachers shift toward a facilitator role, using AI analytics to guide small-group instruction and mentorship. AI is embedded in daily activities, supporting a blend of traditional instruction and significant AI-driven personalization.
- Model 3: AI-Native (Transformational Ecosystem)** This model is a fundamental reinvention of the school, designed around human-AI collaboration. Traditional boundaries of subjects, schedules, and grade levels are dissolved. Learning is competency-based and organized around thematic, real-world problems. Every student is supported by a persistent personal AI tutor and a team of specialist agents. Teachers operate as mentors and learning architects, focusing on human relationships and higher-order thinking. The AI handles the majority of content delivery and continuous assessment, creating a deeply personalized and fluid learning environment.

This chart represents early thinking about one such path:

Category	Model 1: AI-Assisted (Augmented Traditional)	Model 2: AI-Integrated (Partial Redesign)	Model 3: AI-Native (Transformational Ecosystem)
Vision and Goals	Enhance efficiency and provide targeted support within the existing system. Improve traditional metrics.	Leverage AI for significant personalization and introduce flexible, interdisciplinary learning experiences.	Fundamentally redesign the learning ecosystem for mastery, agency, and deep personalization at scale.

<p>Curriculum & Pacing</p>	<p>Standardized curriculum, age-based cohorts. Pacing is uniform, with AI used for remediation or enrichment within units.</p>	<p>Hybrid curriculum; core subjects remain but are augmented by interdisciplinary projects. Pacing is semi-flexible; students have autonomy within personalized learning blocks.</p>	<p>Competency-based progression replaces grade levels. Curriculum is thematic, interdisciplinary, and project-driven. Pacing is entirely individualized and mastery-based.</p>
<p>Schedule & Structure</p>	<p>Traditional bell schedule (e.g., 45–60 min periods). AI use is slotted into existing class time.</p>	<p>Semi-flexible scheduling (e.g., block schedules). Includes dedicated personalized learning time and longer blocks for integrated projects.</p>	<p>Fluid and dynamic scheduling built around learner needs, thematic problems, and project timelines. No rigid periods.</p>
<p>Teacher Role & Workflow</p>	<p>Teacher as the primary instructor. AI used to automate repetitive tasks (grading, planning). Workflow remains largely unchanged.</p>	<p>Teacher as facilitator and designer. Uses AI analytics to guide real-time differentiation and small-group instruction. Emphasis on co-planning interdisciplinary units.</p>	<p>Teacher as mentor, coach, and "learning architect." Designs high-level experiences, oversees student-AI interaction, and focuses on socio-emotional development and higher-order skills.</p>
<p>Student Role & Agency</p>	<p>Students follow uniform instruction. AI provides individualized practice, but student agency is low. Learning is largely receptive.</p>	<p>Students follow customized learning "playlists." Increased ownership of pacing and path within defined blocks. Agency is moderate; students practice self-direction with scaffolding.</p>	<p>Students co-create learning trajectories with mentors and AI agents. Learning is self-directed, deeply contextualized, and passion-driven. High agency.</p>

<p>AI Use in Learning</p>	<p>Supplementary and occasional. Used for basic tasks (e.g., adaptive drills, basic feedback). Adds efficiency but does not drive pedagogical change.</p>	<p>Embedded and supportive. AI supports daily instruction via adaptive programs, real-time feedback (e.g., writing), and learning dashboards. AI helps tailor activities to progress.</p>	<p>Core infrastructure. Every student has a persistent personal AI tutor and specialist agents (multi-agent system). AI co-creates learning paths and provides direct instruction.</p>
<p>Assessment Practices</p>	<p>Summative focus (tests, exams). AI used for formative quizzes or analyzing standardized test data.</p>	<p>Balanced approach. Ongoing AI-generated checkpoints and performance tasks. Teachers use dashboards to adjust instruction responsively.</p>	<p>Woven into daily work and measured in real-time (stealth assessment). Comprehensive dashboards track growth on complex competencies. Learning exhibited through portfolios and public products.</p>
<p>Skills: Academic</p>	<p>Focus on foundational skills via traditional methods. AI reinforces basic skills through adaptive practice.</p>	<p>Strong mastery of fundamentals via personalization. Emphasis on critical thinking and interdisciplinary application through AI-supported projects.</p>	<p>Deep mastery and advanced expertise. Students progress as ready, often exceeding grade levels. Emphasis on complex problem-solving, creativity, and AI literacy.</p>
<p>Skills: SEL & Metacognition</p>	<p>SEL is teacher-dependent; executive function supported by external structure (bells, deadlines). Minimal AI involvement.</p>	<p>Explicit focus on collaboration and agency. AI dashboards help students monitor progress (metacognition). Executive function practiced during personalized learning time.</p>	<p>Central focus. Teachers mentor emotional intelligence. AI facilitates global collaboration. Students must exercise high levels of self-regulation,</p>

			planning, and reflection daily.
Equity and Access	Risk of widening gaps if AI tools are not implemented universally. Personalization limited to basic differentiation (RTI tiers).	AI tailors pathways by skill and need, potentially narrowing gaps. Requires equitable access to devices and broadband. Data used to identify and address systemic inequities.	Deep personalization aims to meet every student's needs. Significant risk if infrastructure is unequal. Requires explicit AI auditing for bias and proactive support for vulnerable populations.
Technology & Interoperability	Basic infrastructure (shared carts or labs). AI tools operate in silos. LMS used mainly for document sharing.	Robust infrastructure; 1:1 access is common. AI tools are integrated into instruction. Data interoperability between key platforms begins (e.g., SIS and LMS).	Ubiquitous technology (wearables, immersive tools). Full data interoperability via a unified, persistent learner profile. Multi-agent AI systems coordinate seamlessly.
Professional Development & Capacity	Focused on tool usage (how to use the software) and basic data interpretation. PD is occasional.	Focused on pedagogical shifts: data-driven instruction, project-based learning facilitation, and managing blended environments. PD is ongoing and job-embedded.	Focused on new roles: mentorship, learning experience design, and AI ecosystem management. Continuous, personalized PD, often supported by AI coaching for teachers.

Governance & Leadership	Traditional hierarchical management. Leadership focuses on adopting tools and managing licenses/compliance.	Shift toward distributed leadership and teacher teams. Leaders focus on managing structural change, fostering collaboration, and communicating a vision for personalized learning.	Adaptive and systems-oriented leadership. Focus on cultivating innovation, managing complex ethical/data privacy issues, and ensuring coherence across a dynamic ecosystem.
------------------------------------	---	--	---